FORM PTO-1449

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT

(Use Several Sheets if Necessary)

DOCKET NO .: APPLICANT:

0630/1G704US2

Douglas C. Harnish

SERIAL NO:

09/924,944

FILING DATE:

0.8/0.8/0.1

CONFIRMATION NO: TBA

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*EXAMINER

DOCUMENT

INITIALS NUMBER DATE

NAME

CLASS SUBCLASS

FILING DATE

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*EXAMINER INITIALS

DOCUMENT NUMBER

DATE

COUNTRY

CLASS SUBCLASS YES NO

TRANSLATION

1. WO 99/07847 2/18/99

PCT

OTHER REFERENCES (INCLUDING AUTHOR, TITLE DATE, PERTINENT PAGES, ETC.)

*EXAMINER INITIALS

- 2. Kuiper et al.., Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 93:5925, 1996
- 3. Mosselman et al.., FEBS Letts. 392:49, 1996
- 4. Breckenridge et al., Atherosclerosis, 45:161, 1982
- 5. Goldberg et al., J. Clin. Invest. 70:1184, 1982
- 6. Homanics et al. J. Biol. Chem. 270:2974, 1995
- 7. Clay et al. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1002:173, 1989
- 8. Busch et al. J. Biol. Chem. 269:16376, 1994
- 9. Fan et al. PNAS 91:8724, 1994
- 10. Tikkanen et al. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand Suppl. 88:83, 1979

DATE CONSIDERED: 6-10-03

Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/924,944	08/08/2001	Douglas C. Harnish	0630/1G704US2	2000
32801	7590 06/16/2003			
DARBY & 1	DARBY P.C.		EXAMI	NER
P.O. BOX 52	57		VII MIS	COOK
NEW YORK	, NY 10150-5257		YU, MISOOK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*************************************	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/924,944	HARNISH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app		_				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	March 2003					
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
,—		resecution as to the morite is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) 1-11 and 25 is/are rejected.					
) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group I in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the process claims should be rejoined under In re Ochiai. This is not found persuasive because the products are not allowable. See claims rejection below.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Applicant's request to rejoin claim 25 with the elected invention is persuasive.

Claims 1-25 are pending and claims 12-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 9.

Claims 1-11, and 25 are examined on merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 recites "the transformed cells are present in a number in a single assay system" but it is not clear what the metes and bounds are for the limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/924,944

Art Unit: 1642

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harnish et al (1998, J. Biol. Chem. vol. 273, pages-9270-8) and Ameis et al (1990, J. Biol. Chem. vol. 265, pages 6552-5) in view of Norris et al (1995, J. Biol. Chem. vol. 270, pages 22777-82), US Pat 5,908,859 (June 1, 1999, or Dichek et al (1998, J. Biol. Chem. vol. 273, pages 1896-903).

Claims 1-10 and 25 are interpreted as drawn to recombinant cell (more specifically a hepatocarcinoma cell in claim 9, HepG2 cell in claim 10) containing 3 DNA constructs, i.e. 1) DNA construct expressing a estrogen receptor (more specifically human one in claim 2, human estrogen receptor alpha (ER-alpha) in claim 3); 2) DNA construct expressing a transcription coactivator C/EBP; 3) a reporter construct linking various art-known reporters listed in claim 7 (more specifically luciferase in claim 8) to hepatic lipase promoter/enhancer (more specifically –1557 to +43 of human HL gene in claim 6). Claim 11 is interpreted as drawn to the recombinant cell of claim 1 for screening useful compounds affecting the ERalpha and/or C/EBP dependent transcription activation of hepatic lipase promoter/enhancer in multi-well format capable of detecting the reporter being used.

The primary references (Harnish et al and Ameis et al) teach all the materials and/or technology necessary to make and use the claimed invention. Ameis et al teach

Application/Control Number: 09/924,944

Art Unit: 1642

at Fig. 3 a human HL promoter/enhancer (–1557 to +43). Harnish et al teach the rest of materials to make and use the instant invention: HepG2 cells and other cells; a DNA construct expressing a estrogen receptor, more specifically human ER-alpha, the ER-alpha used is a human origin as evidenced by Kumar et al (1987, Cell vol. 51, pages 941-51 abstract only); a DNA construct expressing a transcription coactivator C/EBP; 3) a reporter construct linking various art-known reporters to a promoter/enhancer of interest (in the case of Harnish et al, apoAI). Note the entire article, especially Materials and Methods section at page 9271. Harnish et al further teach the reason for using a vector expressing transcription coactivator C/EBP in the study of ER-alpha regulated transcription activity is because the transcription factor is involved in the ER-alpha function. See the paragraph bridging page 9270-1. Harnish et al also teach why HepG2 is used in ER-alpha dependent transcription study. See page 9271, Right column, 2nd paragraph.

Any of the secondary references teaches why one of ordinary skill would be motivated to choose the human HL promoter/enhancer (–1557 to +43) taught by Ameis et al as a promoter/enhancer of interest, i.e. hepatic lipase gene might be regulated by estrogen receptor and regulating the hepatic lipase gene might be a good target in preventing heart diseases and other lipid-metabolism-related diseases in menopausal women. Note the entire Dichek et al; columns 1-2 of the '859 patent; Materials and Methods at page 22777-8, and the last paragraph of Norris et al. As for claim 11, Norris et al teach that multi-well plates could be used in screening assay involving detection of luciferase activity; note 2nd paragraph at page 22778 and Fig. 2.

Application/Control Number: 09/924,944

Art Unit: 1642

Page 5

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to make and use the claimed invention with reasonable expectation of success.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MISOOK YU, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5:30 P.M., every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony C Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Misook Yu June 11, 2003 MARY E. MOSHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1880 / / C